

Explicit expression for the photon number emission in synchrotron radiation*

E. B. MANOUKIAN[†] and N. JEARNKULPRASERT

School of Physics, Suranaree University of Technology
Nakhon Ratchasima, 30000, Thailand

Abstract

An explicit and remarkably simple one-dimensional integral expression is derived for the mean number $\langle N \rangle$ of photons emitted per revolution in synchrotron radiation. The familiar high-energy expression $5\pi\alpha/\sqrt{3(1-\beta^2)}$, printed repeatedly in the literature, is found to be inaccurate and only truly asymptotic with relative errors of 160%, 82% for $\beta = 0.8, 0.9$, respectively. A new improved high-energy expression for $\langle N \rangle$ is given.

The fascinating story of synchrotron radiation emphasizing both the early theoretical and experimental developments is well documented in the literature (e.g., [1]). The monumental pioneering theoretical contribution of Schwinger [2, 3] and its direct experimental impact has been particularly noted [1]. Although the main features of synchrotron radiation have been well known for a long time, there is certainly room for further developments and improvements. In this Letter, we use an earlier integral for the power of radiation obtained by Schwinger [2, 3, 5] over fifty years ago to derive an explicit expression for the mean number $\langle N \rangle$ of photons emitted per revolution with no approximation made. The derived result for $\langle N \rangle$ is a remarkably simple one-dimensional integral. We infer that the familiar high-energy expression $5\pi\alpha/\sqrt{3(1-\beta^2)}$ [6, 7] for $\langle N \rangle$, and repeatedly printed in the literature, is rather inaccurate and is to be considered only as truly asymptotic in the sense that even for speeds $\beta = 0.9, 0.8$ deviations from this expression are rather significant with large relative errors of 82%, 160% (!), respectively. In particular, our explicit result for $\langle N \rangle$ is used to obtain a much-improved asymptotic high-energy expression for radiating particles.

Our starting expression for $\langle N \rangle$ is obtained directly from Schwingers formulae ([2], Eqs. III 6, 7; [4], Eq. (C.11)) for the power.

$$\langle N \rangle = \frac{\alpha}{\beta} \int_0^\infty dz \int_{-\infty}^\infty dx e^{-izx} (\beta^2 \cos x - 1) \frac{\sin \left(2\beta z \sin \frac{x}{2} \right)}{\sin \frac{x}{2}}. \quad (1)$$

*Published in *Physics Letters* **A268** (2000) 35–36.

[†]E-mail: edouard@ccs.sut.ac.th

Since the integrand factor in (1) multiplying $\exp(-izx)$ is an even function of x , only the real part of the integral is non-vanishing. It is easily verified that $\langle N \rangle = 0$ for $\beta = 0$, as it should be, when integrating over x and z in (1) and using in the process that $\int_0^\infty dz \, z \int_{-\infty}^\infty dx \, e^{-izx}$. Accordingly we may rewrite (1) as

$$\begin{aligned} \langle N \rangle = & \alpha \int_0^\infty dz \int_{-\infty}^\infty dx \, e^{-izx} \int_0^\beta d\rho \\ & \times \left(\cos x \frac{\sin \left(2\beta z \sin \frac{x}{2} \right)}{\sin \frac{x}{2}} - \frac{2z}{\beta} \left[\cos \left(2z\rho \sin \frac{x}{2} \right) - 1 \right] \right) \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

conveniently written by taking into account the explicit vanishing property of $\langle N \rangle = 0$ for $\beta = 0$. To evaluate $\langle N \rangle = 0$, we first integrate over z , then over ρ to obtain

$$\langle N \rangle = \alpha \int_{-\infty}^\infty dx \left\{ \frac{2(1 - \beta^2 \cos x)}{\left(x^2 - 4\beta^2 \sin^2 \frac{x}{2} \right)} - \frac{2}{x^2} \right\}. \quad (3)$$

Upon a change of variable $x/2 \rightarrow x$, we finally obtain the remarkably simple expression

$$\begin{aligned} \langle N \rangle = & 2\alpha\beta^2 \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{x^2} \left[\frac{\left(\frac{\sin x}{x} \right)^2 - \cos(2x)}{\left(1 - \beta^2 \left(\frac{\sin x}{x} \right)^2 \right)} \right] \\ & \equiv \alpha f(\beta). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

There is no question of the existence of the latter integral for all $0 \leq \beta < 1$. [For completeness we provide values for $f(\beta)$: 0.1731, 0.7694, 2.1351, 5.7951, 11.4003, 54.7651 corresponding, respectively, to $\beta = 0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8, 0.9, 0.99$.]

Eq. (4) leads to the following expression :

$$f(\beta) = f_0(\beta) + a_0 + \varepsilon(\beta) \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} f_0(\beta) = & 10\beta \int_0^\infty dx \left[3(1 - \beta^2) + \beta^2 x^2 \right]^{-1} \\ = & \frac{5\pi}{\sqrt{3(1 - \beta^2)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 = & 2 \int_0^\infty \frac{dx}{x^2} \frac{\left[6 \left(\frac{\sin x}{x} \right)^2 - \cos(2x) - 5 \right]}{\left[1 - \left(\frac{\sin x}{x} \right)^2 \right]} \\ = & -9.5580. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

For $\beta \rightarrow 1$

$$\varepsilon(\beta) = \mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{1-\beta^2}\right). \quad (8)$$

That is, at high energies we may write

$$\langle N \rangle \cong \frac{5\pi\alpha}{\sqrt{3(1-\beta^2)}} + a_0\alpha. \quad (9)$$

The asymptotic constant a_0 is overwhelmingly large in magnitude. It is the important contribution that survives in the limit $\beta \rightarrow 1$ beyond the $1/\sqrt{1-\beta^2}$ term. Eq. (9) provides a significantly much improved high-energy expression for $\langle N \rangle$. The relative errors in (9) are quite satisfactory with 4.11%, 1.34%, 0.063% for $\beta = 0.8, 0.9, 0.99$. They are to be compared with the relative errors of 160%, 82%, 17%, respectively, for the truly asymptotic earlier formula.

References

- [1] H. C. Pollock, *Am. J. Phys.* **51** (3), 278 (1983).
- [2] J. Schwinger, *Phys. Rev.* **75**, 1912 (1949).
- [3] J. Schwinger, *Phys. Rev.* **70**, 798 (1946).
- [4] J. Schwinger and W.-Y. Tsai, *Ann. Phys. (NY)* **110**, 63 (1978).
- [5] J. Schwinger, W.-Y. Tsai and T. Erber, *Ann. Phys. (NY)* **96**, 303 (1976).
- [6] Review of Particle Physics, *Eur. Phys. J.* **3**, 79 (1998).
- [7] R. M. Barnett *et al.*, Review of Particle Physics, *Phys. Rev.* **D54** (1996) 75.